# A Longitudinal Naturalistic Study of Patients With Dissociative Disorders Treated by Community Clinicians

Bethany L. Brand and Scot W. McNary Towson University Amie C. Myrick Family and Children's Services of Central Maryland, Bel Air, Maryland

Catherine C. Classen University of Toronto

Richard J. Loewenstein Sheppard Pratt Health Systems, Baltimore, Maryland and University of Maryland School of Medicine University of Western Ontario

**Ruth Lanius** 

Clare Pain University of Toronto and Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

# Frank W. Putnam

Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio and University of North Carolina

Severe dissociative disorders (DD) are associated with high levels of impairment, treatment utilization, and treatment costs, yet relatively little systematic research has focused on treatment for these challenging patients. The goal of this naturalistic observational 30-month follow-up study of an international sample of patients with dissociative disorders was to determine if treatment provided by community providers was associated with improvements in symptoms and adaptive functioning. The patients were diagnosed with dissociative identity disorder (DID) and dissociative disorder not otherwise specified (DDNOS). The patients and their therapists completed surveys at study entry and at 6-, 18-, and 30-month follow-up. At the 30-month follow-up, 119 of the original 226 patients completed the surveys. According to patients' reports, they showed decreased levels of dissociation, posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms, general distress, drug use, physical pain, and depression over the course of treatment. As treatment progressed, patients reported increased socializing, attending school or volunteering, and feeling good. According to therapists' reports, patients engaged in less self-injurious behavior and had fewer hospitalizations as well as increased global assessment of functioning scores (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) and adaptive capacities over time. These results suggest that treatment provided by therapists who have training in treating DID/DDNOS appears to be beneficial across a number of clinical domains. Additional research into the treatment of DD is warranted.

Keywords:dissociation, dissociative identity disorder, trauma, PTSD, treatment

Supplemental materialshttp://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0027654.supp

Dissociative disorders (DD) are common among psychiatricwith ranges between 1 to 20.7% among inpatients (e.g., Friedl & samples in North America as well as Western and Eastern Europeraijer, 2000; Gast, Rodewald, Nickel, & Emrich, 2001; (Rifkin,

Funding for this study came from an anonymous contribution made to

Bethany L. Brand, Department of Psychology, Towson University; Sheppard Pratt Health Systems' Trauma Disorders Program and grants Scot W. McNary, Department of Educational Technology and Literacy, from the Constantinidas Family Foundation, Towson University, and the Towson University; Amie C. Myrick, Family and Children's Services University of Western Ontario. Portions of these data were presented at of Central Maryland, Bel Air, Maryland; Catherine C. Classen, Depart-annual conference of the International Society for Study of Trauma & ment of Psychiatry and Women's College Research Institute, UniversityDissociation in Montreal, Canada, 2011; at the annual conference of the of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Ruth Lanius, Department ofInternational Society for the Study of Traumatic Stress in Montreal, Can-Psychiatry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada; ada, 2010; and at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Richard J. Loewenstein, Sheppard Pratt Health Systems, BaltimoreAssociation, Washington DC, 2011. We thank the patients and clinicians Maryland, and University of Toronto and Mount Sinai Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Frank W. Putnam, Cincinnati Chil-Bethany L. Brand, Department of Psychology, Towson University,

Hospital, Toronto, Óntario, Canada; Frank W. Putnam, Cincinnati Chil-Bethany L. Brand, Department of Psychology, Towson University, dren's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio and University of Liberal Arts Bldg. 8000 York Road, Towson, MD 21252. E-mail: North Carolina. bbrand@towson.edu Ghisalbert, Dimatou, Jin, & Sethi, 1998; Ross, Anderson, Fleisher, & Norton, 1991; Tutkun et al., 1998) and 12 to 29% among outpatients (e.g., Foote, Smolin, Kaplan, Legatt, & Lipschitz, 2006; Şar et al., 2003; Sar, Tutkun, Alyanak, Bakim, & Baral, 2005). Therapists invited one patient to participate in the study from their caseload of those diagnosed with either DID or dissociative disorder not otherwise specified (DDNOS).

Therapists completed password-protected, web-based surveys. The methodology and therapist survey were adapted from a naturalistic community study of borderline personality disorder (BPD; Zittel Conklin & Westen, 2005). To protect patient confidentiality and to recruit a wider range of participants—including those who did not have access to the Internet—patient measures were sent via postal mail to therapists' work addresses. Therapists gave packets of measures to their patients, who completed them outside of treatment and without the presence of the therapist. Patients returned the packets directly by mail to the researchers. All surveys were identified by code numbers to match pairs of patient and therapist surveys. The study received institutional review board approval and all participants (therapists and patients) provided informed consent prior to participation. Neither therapists nor patients were compensated for participation.

Follow-up rates/retention. Sample sizes for therapist interviews are as follows: Time 1n 295; Time 2, n 189 (189/295 64% follow-up); Time 3, 174 (59%); and Time 4, 135 (46%). Sample sizes for patients at each follow-up were: n Time 1, n 226; Time 2, n 171 (76%); Time 3, 131 (58%); and Time 4.n 111 (49%). Two patients completed the Time 2 follow-up only and four completed the Time 4 only. None of these patients were included in the follow-up rate calculation (173 115 total interviews at Time 2 and 4, respectively). Data andn were missing due to either attrition or patient termination. Length of time to the first follow-up averaged 205.9 daysD 44.8), 584.5 (SD 64.0) to the second follow-up, and 936(SD 59.8) to the final follow-up.

Therapists and/or patients who completed at least two protocols,

chiatric symptoms. Items are rated on a 5-point scale of symptom decreases attenuated over time as indicated by statistically distress ranging from 0not at all) to 4 (extremely). The Global significant positive quadratic effects. For each of the symptom Severity Index (GSI), the average score for all 90 items, patientsoutcomes, variance components for intercepts were large. Interwith DD typically score higher on the SCL-90-R than other class correlations (ICC) ranged from .78 (DES) to .65 (SCLpsychiatric outpatients and inpatients (Ellason & Ross, 2004Depression). ICCs for month slope coefficients were much Steinberg, Barry, Sholomskas, & Hall, 2005). In addition to the smaller, ranging from .0005 (SCL-90) to .0003 (DES). GSI, the depression subscale was used in this study. Across the Patient self-report of days spent more than 10 hr or more in bed four follow-ups, Cronbach's alpha coefficients in the current studydid not significantly change, whereas patient report of any pain ranged from .96 to .98 for the GSI and from .88 to .93 for the significantly decreased over time. This decrease became less depression subscale. sharp over time as indicated by a significant and positive quadratic

Data analysis. Descriptive statistics reported for continuous effect of time. Patients reported significant decreases over time in variables included the mean, standard deviation, minimum, an30 day rates of self-harm, doing something dangerous, and doing maximum. Percentages are reported for categorical variables. Staemething very impulsive. These correspond to decreases of 4%, tistical analyses were conducted using the statistical programming, and 6% in the odds of engaging in self destructive behavior for environment R (R Development Core Team, 2011) and randomself-harm, dangerous activity, and impulsive activity, respectively. effects models were implemented using Ime4 package (Bates Patient report of suicide attempts past 30 days did not significantly Maechler, & Bolker, 2011) within R. In addition to the intercept, decrease. None of the models for self-destructive behaviors that time in months since baseline interview (Month), and the square ocontained quadratic effects fit better than models without, so only time (Month<sup>2</sup>) were assessed as both fixed and random effects. Immodels with linear terms are reported for these behaviors. Selfno case was the random effect of time squared a plausible effecteported alcohol use in the past 30 days did not decrease over time, If the random linear effect of time did not appreciably improve but using prescription and street drugs to become intoxicated in the model fit, it was dropped. All models thus have random interceptspast 30 days decreased by 44% in the odds of use by month. and a few have random linear effects of time, but none have random curvilinear time effects.

Therapist Report of Patient Destructive and Suicidal Correlates of missingness. Missing data were accommo-**Behaviors** dated by using full information maximum likelihood (FIML) sup-

plemented with auxiliary variables (Collins, Schafer & Ham, 2001; Similar to patient reports, the number of therapist-reported pa-Enders, 2005). Auxiliary variables are correlates of missingnessient self-harm episodes decreased significantly over time (5% status. Cases that are missing observations in later follow-ups havecrease in odds of self-harm report by monthlynlike patient systematically different values for auxiliary variables than cases eports, therapist report of suicide attempts did significantly dethat are observed at each time point. By including auxiliary vari-crease with time; the odds of suicide attempts, as reported by ables in the models for the outcomes, the process of missingness the proces of missingness the process

incorporated, potentially reducing bias in the estimation due to

exclusion of cases lost to follow-up. The search for auxiliary Hospital Use

variables was conducted with data at each follow-up point. Back-

ground characteristics of patients and therapists were correlated Patients reported no change in probability of patient hospitalwith missingness at all three follow-up points. Although several ization or use of psychiatric day programs. In contrast, therapists variables were correlated with missingness at each time point, only ported a significant decrease in probability of hospitalization; the number of previous patients treated and graduation from the Disodds of hospitalization decreased by 31% each month.

sociative Disorders training programwere significantly associ

ated with missingness at all three follow-ups with both therapistAdaptive Functioning

and patient missing data status (|0.11| - |0.22|). Cases with observed data tended to have therapists who had treated more feeling good feelings, and participating in social activities inpatients and who had graduated from the ISSTD's DDPTP, a creased each month 3%, 10%, and 5%, respectively. However, therapist training program, compared to cases with no follow-up therr,

data. The consistency of these associations and representation in both categorical and continuous domains suggested these as good

candidates for auxiliary variables in the FIML maximum likeli-

hood method approach to missing data. Auxiliary variables "number of patients treated" and "DDPTP program graduate" were

subsequently included as covariates in all longitudinal models.

## Results

# Patient-Rated Symptoms and Dysfunctional Behaviors

Patient reports of dissociative symptoms, general psychiatric symptoms, depression, and PTSD symptoms decreased significantly over the course of the study (see Table 1). Except for DES,

intercepts were much larger than those for the random effect oprovements in symptoms and adaptive functioning, as well as a month. decreased need for hospitalization at follow-ups at 6, 18, and 30

Therapist report of transition across stages of therapy at adjacemionths. Patients with DID/DDNOS showed declines in dissociafollow-ups (Time 1 to Time 2, Time 2 to Time 3, and Time 3 to Time tion, depression, general distress, using drugs to get high, engaging 4) are found in Supplemental Table 5. An ordinal regression within dangerous behavior, physical pain, and posttraumatic symptomstage of treatment as the outcome and time in months as a predictatology over the course of 30 months of treatment. Furthermore, revealed that there was statistically significant change in stage over the course of 30 months of treatment. Furthermore, time (B .023SE .006,Z 4.09;p .001), such that the odds of progressing to a later stage increased by 2% per month.

## Discussion

We found that treatment for the patients with DD in this inter- adaptive capacities (i.e., PITQ scores) over 30 months of treatnational prospective, naturalistic study was associated with imment. Therapists indicated that patients engaged in less self-

improving in their functioning (i.e., GAF scores) and increasing

Table 1

injurious behavior, fewer suicide attempts, and required less frequent hospitalizations at the follow-ups compared to baseline. Furthermore, there was significant change from baseline to 30 month follow-up in terms of the number of patients who progressed to higher stages of treatment, as reported by the therapists, compared to the number who regressed to a lower stage of treatment. The differences in reports of suicide attempts and hospitalizations may have been due to the therapists and patients completing their surveys independently so they may have been referring to different months in treatment.

Whereas patients in this study show numerous important changes in symptoms and functioning over time, symptom relief was by no means complete. For example, the 30-month follow-up mean dissociation score, although lower than that at baseline (

#### References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2000)iagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorder≰4th ed., text rev.)Washington DC: Author.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., & Bolker, B. (2011)me4: Linear mixed-effects models using S4 classes. R package version 0.999375–40/rR298 trieved from http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/lme4/
- Bernstein, E., & Putnam, F. W. (1986). Development, reliability, and validity of a dissociation scaledournal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 174, 727–735. doi:10.1097/00005053-198612000-00004
- Bradley, R., Greene, J., Russ, E., Dutra, L., & Westen, D. (2005). A multidimensional meta-analysis of psychotherapy for PTSDerican Journal of Psychiatry, 162214–227. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.162.2.214
- Brand, B., Classen, C. C., Lanius, R., Loewenstein, R. J., McNary, S. W., Pain, C., & Putnam, F. W. (2009). A naturalistic study of dissociative identity disorder and dissociative disorder not otherwise specified patients treated by community clinician sychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, & Policy, 1,53–171. doi:10.1037/a0016210
- Brand, B., Classen, C. C., McNary, S. W., & Zaveri, P. (2009). A review of dissociative disorders treatment studidsurnal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 197646-654. doi:10.1097/NMD.0b013e3181b3afaa
- Carlson, E. B., Putnam, F. W., Ross, C. A., Torem, M., Coons, P., Dill, D. L., . . . Braun, B. G. (1993). Validity of the Dissociative Experiences Scale in screening for multiple personality disorder: A multicenter study. American Journal of Psychiatry, 150,030–1036.
- Classen, C., Nevo, R., Koopman, C., Nevill-Manning, Gore-Felton, C., Rose, D. S., . . . Spiegel, D. (2002). Recent stressful life events, sexual revictimization, and their relationship with traumatic stress symptoms among women sexually abused in childhoddurnal of Interpersonal Violence, 17,1274–1290. doi:10.1177/088626002237856
- Collins, L., Schafer, J., & Ham, C. (2001). A comparison of inclusive and restrictive strategies in modern missing data procedul Reschological Methods, 6,330–351. doi:10.1037/1082-989X.6.4.330
- Courtois, C. A., & Ford, J. D. (Eds.). (2009) reating complex traumatic stress disorders: An evidence-based guiblew York, NY: Guilford Press.

(3rd ed.)ns..Minneapolis, MN: National Computer Systems.

(1986). The clinical phenomenology of multiple personality disorder: Review of 100 recent cases/ournal of Clinical Psychiatry, 47285– 293.

R Development Core Team. (2011). R: A language and environment for